

1 - 2

# **Walworth Community Council**

Tuesday 17 May 2011 7.00 pm InSpire at St Peter's, Liverpool Grove, London, SE17 2HH

# Supplemental Agenda

**List of Contents** 

Item No. Title Page No.

#### Contact

6.

**Development Control Items** 

Alexa Coates on 020 7525 7385 or email: alexa.coates@southwark.gov.uk Webpage:

Date: 17 May 2011

## Agenda Item 6

Item No .	Classification	Committee:	Date:
6	Open	Walworth Community Council	17 May 2011
From:		Title of Report:	
Head of Development Management		Addendum  Late observations, consultation responses, and further information.	

#### **PURPOSE**

1 To advise Members of observations, consultation responses and further information received in respect of the following planning application on the main agenda. These were received after the preparation of the report and the matters raised may not therefore have been taken in to account in reaching the recommendation stated.

#### RECOMMENDATION

2 That Members note and consider the late observations, consultation responses and information received in respect this item in reaching their decision.

### **FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION**

- 3 Item 6.1 Draper House, Elephant and Castle, London, SE1 6SX
- 3.1 A late representation has been received from the occupant of No. 119 Draper House who reaffirms their strong objection to the proposal on the basis of road safety. Their concern, which was also set out in their previous representation (which is summarised in the main report), is that drivers negotiating the re-configured gyratory near the base of Draper House will be distracted by the proposed sign which in turn will endanger pedestrian and vehicular safety.
- 3.2 In response, officers can confirm that technical highway safety advice has been sought from both the Council's Transport Planning team and from Transport for London, who are the highway authority for the gyratory. As set out in the main report, neither of these consultees raise objection to the proposals on the basis of highway safety subject to the lights on the advert being limited in brightness which will be governed by condition. Accordingly, the recommendation on this application remains to grant advert consent.

### 4 Item 6.2 and 6.3 31 Cobourg Road, London SE5 0HT

- 4.1 A representation has been received from the Council for British Archaeology who comment that they strongly object to both applications (planning application and listed building consent application) as they consider that the submitted drawings are not sufficient to comment constructively.
- 4.2 In response, officers would comment that the drawings have been consulted on with Design and Conservation colleagues who have advised that they consider the proposals, as presented, are acceptable subject to conditions on the listed building consent to secure appropriate materials and specification of works. Other statutory amenity societies were

also consulted and did not respond, moreover English Heritage commented that they didn't need to be notified on these works. Accordingly, taking into account the relatively modest nature of these works and the design advice received, the recommendation on both of these applications remains as set out in the main agenda.

#### **REASON FOR LATENESS**

5 The comments reported above have all been received since the agenda was written/printed. They all relate to items on the agenda and Members should be aware of the objections and comments made.

#### **REASON FOR URGENCY**

Applications are required by statute to be considered as speedily as possible. The application has been publicised as being on the agenda for consideration at this meeting of the Sub-Committee and applicants and objectors have been invited to attend the meeting to make their views known. Deferral would delay the processing of the applications/enforcements and would inconvenience all those who attend the meeting.

Lead Officer: Gary Rice - Head of Development Management

Background Papers: Individual case files.

Located at: 160 Tooley Street London SE1.